

real war. We still have the remnants of the difficulties in Iraq, we have piracy on the seas, and we have all kinds of things we have to keep fighting for. So there ought to be some recompense for our country for the opportunity they have to make this kind of money.

These are their earnings during the first 3 months of 2011, which is still part of the recession time: Exxon, their end-of-quarter profits were over \$10 billion. Shell, almost \$9 billion. BP, \$7.1 billion—that is after their foul mistake in the Gulf of Mexico that cost plenty of money. They still made that kind of money. And Chevron made \$6.2 billion. Little ConocoPhillips only made \$3 billion in that quarter.

When you think about it, the irony is how well BP has done—a company that spewed 200 million gallons of oil into the ocean last year. Why is our government shoving billions of dollars into the pockets of their executives, their lawyers? Why don't we use the money to invest in a stronger America and pay down our debt? I would like to see us doing that.

Big Oil's greed is helping to inflate our deficit. Every day, Americans are footing the bill. You would think our colleagues on the other side of the aisle would want to put a stop to this madness, to step up for the average person. Well, so far we are not doing what I would like to see being done for the public, for the average citizen. Big Oil is doing everything in its power to protect its subsidies, and the Republicans are doing everything in their power to help them. The Republicans say that eliminating these wasteful subsidies will raise gas prices. That is wrong. That is plain wrong.

Look at the compensation of the CEOs here. Now, they are not selling pretzels or making potato chips; they are dealing with a commodity that is essential to the functioning of our society, of mankind. The CEO at Exxon got \$29 million; ConocoPhillips, \$18 million; Chevron, \$16 million. These are all in 2010, for the year just recently concluded. I want to make certain people understand that companies paying their fair share in taxes isn't going to hurt the industry. It just means Big Oil executives may have to make do with a smaller swimming pool or maybe smaller yacht, but no real pain or punishment there.

The fact is, the Big Oil CEOs aren't feeling this recession. But instead of making our government more fiscally responsible by ending the giveaways to Big Oil, the Republicans have another idea: They want to cut the deficit by ending Medicare as we know it. That won't save us any money in the long term. It will simply increase the expenditures, as many are forced to pay more out of their own pockets for their health. Seniors are struggling. The big oil companies aren't.

I wish the other side would listen a little more closely to the wishes of the American people. Almost three-quarters of Americans say we should stop

giving billions in tax breaks to the big oil companies each year. The American people know these subsidies are unnecessary, ineffective, and immoral. And it is not as if the oil industry is taking its annual \$4 billion windfall and investing it in our country's future. No. In addition to going into the paychecks of the Big Oil executives, this money is being used to line the pockets of the industry's lawyers and lobbyists who are seen frequently and obviously around here.

I have seen this time and time again during my career in the Senate. I was the first Senator on the scene at the *Exxon Valdez* when it rammed into the Alaskan shoreline in 1989. Instead of being forthcoming and doing what they should have done, Exxon fought over every penny with the communities in Alaska—the families and the fishermen whose lives it destroyed. Instead of stepping up to pay the court-awarded damages—\$5 billion—Exxon said: To heck with that verdict. We will fight it. We will fight it all the way. And they did, for years. They knocked down the amount from \$5 billion in punitive damages to \$500 million. I guarantee you they paid a lot of money to the lawyers and lobbyists, but they would rather give it to them than to the American people. That is what that shows. In the end, it took more than 20 years for Exxon to pay for what it had done. Some victims died while waiting for the company to make things right.

So we should not be giving Big Oil \$4 billion in tax breaks each year. Their profits, which last year exceeded \$100 billion, are larger than lots of countries. We should be investing in ways to break our dangerous addiction to oil. We should be investing in innovative approaches to moving people and goods, including increasing funds for transit, creating a world-class high-speed rail network, and expanding the number of electric cars on our roads. We should also boost our country's promising clean energy industry, making sure we lead the world in the export of environmental products that are proudly stamped with the "Made in the USA" label.

Don't be fooled—drilling will not, in the final analysis, get us out of our energy problems. We use almost a quarter of the world's oil, but we sit on less than 3 percent of the world's reserve. So drilling is going to just quickly bring the end of our ability to produce oil. That will be the conclusion. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration, even if we open every offshore drilling area in the continental United States, the average price of gasoline would drop by just 3 cents a gallon by the year 2030. Here, we see it: The benefit of increased drilling will save us 3 cents a gallon in two decades. That is not very promising for people who have to rely on the automobile for all kinds of things in their lives.

Continuing to subsidize oil companies only increases our dependence on dirty fuels. And even as our children

pay a heavy price—with asthma victims and other respiratory problems—it keeps us on a dead-end road to sky-high energy bills, more oil spills like the one we saw in the gulf, and dangerous pollution levels. Investing in clean alternatives to oil, cars that go further on a gallon of gas, and smart transportation, such as mass transit, are the only realistic solutions to our energy challenges.

Beyond clean energy investments, we should take the \$4 billion we give away to Big Oil each year and use that money to pay down our deficit. It is pretty clear that we cannot restore fiscal sanity to our government unless we start paying more attention to the revenue column in our ledger.

I was a CEO for many years. I know you cannot run a company or a country without a strong revenue flow. Ending the government's wasteful oil industry subsidies will not be enough to erase our deficit, but it is a good place to start.

I call on my colleagues, have a citizen's heart. Look at this as you would any other obligation you have in your life. Make sure our country is strong and that our middle-class and our modest earners can look ahead for a decent life for themselves, educating their children and protecting their parents with proper health care. Get Big Oil off the welfare rolls. Let's end the industry's tax breaks and end our country's addiction to oil and other dirty fuels.

Let's invest in clean energy and smart transportation—and cut the windfalls for the oil industry lobbyists and lawyers. I want to make sure—and I am sure all of us do, down deep—our grandchildren and children inherit a country that is fiscally sound and morally responsible.

I yield the floor.

2011 NATIONAL POLICE WEEK

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, this afternoon I had the honor of attending the Top Cops event hosted by President Obama at the White House. I will be honored Sunday to attend the National Peace Officers Memorial ceremony. I appreciate the support the President is showing for our law enforcement officers not just this week but every week. Local law enforcement is critical to the peace and security of our families and communities in Vermont and across the country.

In 1962, President John F. Kennedy signed a proclamation to designate May 15 as Peace Officers Memorial Day and the week in which that date falls as Police Week. Every year during Police Week, thousands of law enforcement officers from around the country converge on Washington, DC, to honor those who have paid the ultimate sacrifice keeping all of us safe. I want to mark this week by recognizing the heroic women and men in law enforcement who are dedicated to just that. More than 900,000 law enforcement officers guard our communities at great

risk to their safety every day. National Peace Officers Memorial Day provides the people of the United States, in their communities, in their state capitals, and in the Nation's Capital, with the opportunity to honor and reflect on the extraordinary service and sacrifice given year after year by the women and men who serve in police forces, as peace officers and in all branches of law enforcement.

This week we honor those who lost their lives in the line of duty, and their families. In 2010, 153 law enforcement officers died while serving in the line of duty. Their bravery and sacrifice should not be forgotten. Since the first recorded police death in 1792, there have been more than 19,000 law enforcement officers who have died in the line of duty.

Late last week, the Senate passed a resolution I introduced to recognize those officers who lost their lives last year. I thank Senator GRASSLEY for joining me in sponsoring that resolution. I am glad the Senate came together unanimously to show its strong support and appreciation of America's law enforcement officers.

Keeping our communities safe is vitally important work and will always be dangerous, but we must work to keep those who protect us as safe as possible. The officers who lost their lives in 2010 are a stark reminder that we must not let up in our support of those who work day in and day out in the service of all of us and our communities.

I was proud to champion bipartisan legislation first passed more than a decade ago which has authorized Federal funding to assist in the purchase of lifesaving bulletproof vests for law enforcement officers. I have worked hard to ensure that legislation is funded each year. From 1999 through 2009, the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Program has helped provide more than 800,000 vests. Just last year, the program paid for 95 new vests across Vermont. These vests have saved the lives of police officers across America.

In these tough economic times, when towns and cities have had to tighten their belts and make tough decisions about their budgets, these grants are even more important to protect law enforcement officers. Congress must continue to support this initiative to increase the safety of those in the line of duty.

Congress must also continue to support Federal assistance to state and local law enforcement. Consistent support for key Federal support initiatives like the COPS program, the Byrne/JAG program, and rural law enforcement grants are an important reason why crime rates have continued to decline even as the economy struggled and State budgets tightened. We were able to secure funding in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and renewed commitments in the appropriations process, which allowed police departments throughout the country to

hire and maintain officers, buy needed equipment, and provide training.

In the current budget environment, everyone has had to make sacrifices. Even the President, who has been a strong supporter of law enforcement, has called for modest cuts in Federal assistance to State and local law enforcement. What we cannot afford are the draconian cuts in law enforcement assistance that others are proposing. We owe it to our law enforcement professionals and to our communities to continue our much-needed support.

HIRING HEROES ACT OF 2011

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, President George Washington once said "The willingness with which our young people are likely to serve in any war, no matter how justified, shall be directly proportional to how they perceive the veterans of earlier wars were treated and appreciated by their nation."

President Washington's words are a serious reminder of our obligation to all of the brave men and women serving our country overseas. We have a solemn obligation to our veterans when they return home. And the unemployment numbers among veterans make it clear that we have a long way to go.

The unemployment rate among veterans who have served in the military since September 2001 far exceeds that of their nonveteran peers. The unemployment rate for Iraq and Afghanistan veterans hit 13.1 percent in April. This is roughly 3 percentage points higher than the previous year. The unemployment rate among Montana veterans has more than doubled since 2005. This is a serious problem. We should be greeting our veterans with quality health care and our eternal gratitude, not an unemployment check.

Yesterday, I was proud to stand with my friends and colleagues, Senator PATTY MURRAY and Senator JON TESTER, as we introduced the Hiring Heroes Act of 2011. The bill will take a number of important steps to help our brave veterans find work when they come home from war.

If a soldier serves as a truck driver or a medic in the military, there shouldn't be excessive red tape to become a truck driver or serve in a hospital as a civilian. That is why this bill requires the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and the Secretary of Labor to study how skills learned in the military can be more effectively translated to meet the qualifications required for civilian jobs back home. The legislation would also initiate a new program aimed at eliminating the barriers between military training and civilian licensure or credentialing.

The Hiring Heroes Act would require the Department of Labor to reach out to and assist recently discharged veterans receiving disability payments. The bill would also extend the VA's authority to provide rehabilitation and

job training for severely wounded troops. Without this extension, only veterans separated from the military could take advantage of these critical employment services. Helping veterans requires close cooperation between the VA and veterans service organizations. That is why the legislation would authorize \$4.5 million in grants for non-profit organizations that help veterans find work.

The Hiring Heroes Act of 2011 complements the legislation that Senators TESTER, GRASSLEY, Senator BURR and I introduced earlier this year: the Veteran Employment Transition Act of 2011. This legislation will reward employers that hire veterans who have recently completed their service in the military with up to a \$2,400 tax credit under the work opportunity tax credit. I am proud that 17 of my colleagues in the Senate—Republicans and Democrats—have cosponsored this legislation. The House companion has 54 cosponsors.

The bill also cuts the redtape that generally exists under the work opportunity tax credit. Rather than having to go through the tax credit's current certification process, qualified servicemen and women who have been recently discharged will only need show their discharge documentation that was provided by the Department of Defense. This includes those men and women who were activated by their states as members of the National Guard.

Enacting this legislation would just be the first step. The tax credit will not work unless veterans and small businesses across the country know about it. That is why I am working with the Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans of America, Veterans of Foreign Wars, and other Veteran Service Organizations to help get the word out about this tax credit once we pass the legislation.

Briefly, I thank my Defenders of Freedom Fellows, Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans and Montana-Natives Charlie Cromwell and Troy Carter. As legislative fellows in my office, Charlie and Troy worked hard to draft and advance this bill. I created the Defenders of Freedom Fellowship so that Montana veterans could work on legislation that helps their fellow veterans. They would be proud of this legislation.

I encourage all interested Montana veterans to contact my office for more information. It will take this kind of teamwork to provide the support our veterans need when they come home from war. It is an honor to introduce this legislation and I look forward to its quick passage this legislative session.

SBIR/STTR

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I wish to express my disappointment with this body's failure to move forward with the Small Business Innovation Research and Small Business Technology Transfer reauthorization.